arXiv:0810.1577v1 [math.AP] 9 Oct 2008

Wave front set for solutions to perturbed harmonic oscillators

Shikuan MAO*and Shu NAKAMURA[†]

October 9, 2008

Abstract

We consider Schrödinger equations with variable coefficients and the harmonic potential. We suppose the perturbation is short-range type in the sense of [7]. We characterize the wave front set of the solutions to the equation in terms of the classical scattering data and the propagator of the unperturbed harmonic oscillator. In particular, we give a "recurrence of singularities" type theorem for the propagation of the period $t = \pi$.

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider a Schrödinger operator with variable coefficients and the harmonic potential:

$$H = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \partial_{x_j} a_{jk}(x)\partial_{x_k} + \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 + V(x)$$

on $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \geq 1$. We denote the unperturbed harmonic oscillator by H_0:

$$H_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\triangle + \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 \qquad \text{on } \mathcal{H},$$

and we suppose H is a short-range perturbation of H_0 in the following sense:

Assumption A. $a_{jk}(x), V(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R})$ for $j,k = 1,\dots,n$, and $(a_{jk}(x))_{j,k}$ is positive symmetric for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, there exists $\mu > 1$ such that for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$,

$$\left|\partial_x^\alpha (a_{jk}(x) - \delta_{jk})\right| \leq C_\alpha \langle x\rangle^{-\mu-|\alpha|},$$
$$\left|\partial_x^\alpha V(x)\right| \leq C_\alpha \langle x\rangle^{2-\mu-|\alpha|}$$

---

[*] Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, 3-8-1, Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo, Japan 153-8914. Email: `shikuan@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp`

[†] Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, 3-8-1, Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo, Japan 153-8914. Partially supported by JSPS Grant Kiban (B) 17340033. Email: `shu@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp`

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with some $C_\alpha > 0$, where $\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1+|x|^2}$.

Then it is well-known that H is essentially self-adjoint on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and we denote the unique self-adjoint extension by the same symbol H. We denote the symbols of H, H_0, the kinetic energy and the free Schrödinger operator by p, p_0, k and k_0, respectively. Namely, we denote

$$p(x,\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,k=1}^n a_{jk}(x)\xi_j\xi_k + \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 + V(x), \quad p_0(x,\xi) = \frac{1}{2}|\xi|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|x|^2,$$

$$k(x,\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,k=1}^n a_{jk}(x)\xi_j\xi_k, \quad k_0(x,\xi) = \frac{1}{2}|\xi|^2.$$

We denote the Hamilton flow generated by a symbol $a(x,\xi)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ by $\exp(tH_a) : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. We also denote

$$\pi_1(X) = x, \quad \pi_2(X) = \xi \quad \text{for } X = (x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}.$$

Let $(x_0,\xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. (x_0,ξ_0) is called *forward (backward, resp.) nontrapping* (with respect to k) if

$$|\pi_1(\exp(tH_k)(x_0,\xi_0)| \to \infty$$

as $t \to +\infty$ ($t \to -\infty$, resp.). If (x_0,ξ_0) is forward/backward nontrapping, then it is well-known

$$(x_\pm,\xi_\pm) = \lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \exp(-tH_{k_0}) \circ \exp(tH_k)(x_0,\xi_0)$$

exists, and $S_\pm$: $(x_0,\xi_0) \mapsto (x_\pm,\xi_\pm)$ are locally diffeomorphic (see, e.g., Nakamura [7], Section 2.).

Now we present our main results of this paper. Let us recall our harmonic oscillator H_0 has a period 2π, i.e., $e^{-i2\pi H_0}\varphi = \varphi$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$. Moreover, we have

$$e^{\mp i\pi H_0}\varphi(x) = \varphi(-x), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Our first result concern the evolution by H up to time π. We denote

$$u(t) = e^{-itH}u_0, \quad u_0 \in \mathcal{H}.$$

We denote the wave front set of a distribution f by $WF(f)$.

Theorem 1.1. *(i) Suppose* (x_0,ξ_0) *is backward nontrapping, and let* $0 < t_0 < \pi$, $u_0 \in \mathcal{H}$. *Then*

$$(x_0,\xi_0) \in WF(u(t_0)) \iff (x_-,\xi_-) \in WF(e^{-it_0H_0}u_0).$$

(ii) Suppose (x_0,ξ_0) *is forward nontrapping, and let* $-\pi < t_0 < 0$, $u_0 \in \mathcal{H}$. *Then*

$$(x_0,\xi_0) \in WF(u(t_0)) \iff (x_+,\xi_+) \in WF(e^{-it_0H_0}u_0).$$

Remark 1.2. We note that microlocally e^{-itH_0} is a rotation in the phase space. More precisely, for any reasonable symbol $a = a(x, \xi)$,

$$e^{-itH_0} a^w(x, D_x) e^{itH_0} = a^w\big(\cos(t)x + \sin(t)D_x, -\sin(t)x + \cos(t)D_x\big),$$

where $a^w(x, D_x)$ denotes the Weyl-quantization of a. Hence, in particular, $(x_0, \xi_0) \in WF(e^{-itH_0}u_0)$ if and only if there exists a symbol: $a \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ such that $a(x_0, \xi_0) \neq 0$ and

$$\big\| a^w(\cos(t)x - \sin(t)D_x, h(\sin(t)x + \cos(t)D_x))u_0 \big\| = O(h^\infty)$$

as $h \to 0$.

At the time $t = \pm\pi$, $u(t)$ behaves differently. We denote the set of forward (backward, resp.) nontrapping points by $\mathcal{T}_+$ ($\mathcal{T}_-$, resp.) $\subset \mathbb{R}^{2n} \backslash 0 := \{(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \xi \neq 0\}$. $S_\pm$ are diffeomorphism from $(\mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus 0) \setminus \mathcal{T}_\pm$ to $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus 0$, and hence $S_\pm^{-1}$ are well-defined from $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \backslash 0$ to $(\mathbb{R}^{2n} \backslash 0) \backslash \mathcal{T}_\pm$. We also denote the antipodal map in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ by Γ, i.e., $\Gamma(x, \xi) = (-x, -\xi)$.

Theorem 1.3. *(i) Suppose* (x_0, ξ_0) *is backward nontrapping, and let* $u_0 \in \mathcal{H}$*. Then*

$$(x_0, \xi_0) \in WF(u(\pi)) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad S_+^{-1} \circ \Gamma \circ S_-(x_0, \xi_0) \in WF(u_0).$$

(ii) Suppose (x_0, ξ_0) *is forward nontrapping, and let* $u_0 \in \mathcal{H}$*. Then*

$$(x_0, \xi_0) \in WF(u(-\pi)) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad S_-^{-1} \circ \Gamma \circ S_+(x_0, \xi_0) \in WF(u_0).$$

The microlocal singularities of solutions to Schrödinger equations have been attracted attention during the past years, especially after the publication of the break-through paper by Craig-Kappeler-Strauss [1] in 1996 (for more literature, see references of [1] and [7]). On the other hand, the singularities of solutions to the harmonic oscillator type Schrödinger equations have been studied by several authors, including Zelditch [11], Yajima [9], Kapitanski-Rodnianski-Yajima [6], Doi [2] and Wunsch [10]. Most of these works concern the case with constant coefficients with potential perturbations. In particular, if the metric is flat, i.e., if $a_{jk}(x) = \delta_{jk}$, then $S_\pm$ is the identity map, and Theorem 1.3 recovers results in [11], [9], [6] and [2]. In fact, if the metric is flat, Theorem 1.1 is also obtained by Doi [2], and this paper is partially motivated by this beautiful work. Moreover, he also considered a class of long range type perturbations, i.e, when $V(x) = O(|x|)$ as $|x| \to \infty$, and demonstrated that a shift of singularities occurs. Microlocal smoothing effect for the Schrödinger equations on scattering manifolds with harmonic potential is studied by Wunsch [10].

In a sense, this paper is an analogue of a work by Nakamura [7] where the microlocal singularities of asymptotically flat Schrödinger equations is studied (see also a closely related work by Hassel-Wunsch [3] and Ito-Nakamura

[5]). The main difference (and the novelty) is the analysis of the classical trajectories with high energies. In [7], the standard classical scattering theory is sufficient to prove the propagation of singularities (with a scaling argument). However, in the presence of the harmonic potential, the high energy asymptotics of the classical trajectories is completely different from the long-time asymptotics. Thus we need to obtain precise high energy asymptotics of the trajectories using the time evolution of the harmonic oscillator, and it is carried out in Section 2. This situation is somewhat similar to the case of such analysis for Schrödinger equations with long-range perturbations [8], but the asymptotics itself is naturally completely different. We also note that our results have much in common with a paper by Zelditch [11], at least in spirit, and our results may be considered as generalizations of his results to variable coefficients cases.

The main results are proved in Section 3, and the argument is similar to [7]. However, the scaling argument is slightly more complicated, and we try to give a more transparent formulation (see the last part of Section 2 and the beginning of Section 3). In the last section, we discuss generalizations of our main theorems to the case when the harmonic potential is inhomogeneous.

Throughout this paper, we use the following notations: Our Hilbert space is $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the space of the bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. The Fourier transform is denoted by

$$\hat{u}(\xi) = \mathcal{F}u(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix\cdot\xi} u(x)dx,$$

and the inverse Fourier transform is denoted by $\check{u}(x) = \mathcal{F}^* u(x)$. For a smooth symbol $a(x,\xi)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, we denote the Weyl quantization by $a^w(x, D_x)$, i.e., for $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, a Schwartz function on $\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$a^w(x, D_x)u(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \iint e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} a(\tfrac{x+y}{2}, \xi) u(y) dy\, d\xi.$$

We use the $S(m, g)$-symbol class notation of Hörmander [4].

2 High energy asymptotics of the classical flow

In this section, we study the high energy behavior of the classical flow generated by $p(x,\xi)$. More precisely, we consider the properties of $\exp(tH_p)(x_0, \lambda\xi_0)$ as $\lambda \to +\infty$. Throughout this section, we suppose (x_0, ξ_0) is forward nontrapping, and consider the case $t > 0$. The case $t \leq 0$ can be considered similarly.

For $\lambda > 0$, we write

$$p^\lambda(x,\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,k=1}^n a_{jk}(x)\xi_j\xi_k + \frac{|x|^2}{2\lambda^2} + \frac{1}{\lambda^2}V(x),$$
$$p_0^\lambda(x,\xi) = \frac{1}{2}|\xi|^2 + \frac{1}{2\lambda^2}|x|^2.$$

Then, by direct computations, we learn

$$\pi_1(\exp(tH_p)(x,\lambda\xi)) = \pi_1(\exp(\lambda tH_{p^\lambda})(x,\xi)), \tag{2.1}$$
$$\pi_2(\exp(tH_p)(x,\lambda\xi)) = \lambda\cdot\pi_2(\exp(\lambda tH_{p^\lambda})(x,\xi)). \tag{2.2}$$

Hence, it suffices to consider $\exp(tH_{p^\lambda})(x,\xi)$ for $0 \le t \le \lambda t_0$, instead of $\exp(tH_p)(x,\lambda\xi)$ for $0 \le t \le t_0$.

We note, for each fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \exp(tH_{p^\lambda})(x,\xi) = \exp(tH_k)(x,\xi) \tag{2.3}$$

by the continuity of the solutions to ODEs with respect to the coefficients. Hence, if $t > 0$ is large and then $\lambda > 0$ is taken sufficiently large (after fixing t), $\pi_1(\exp(tH_{p^\lambda})(x_0,\xi_0))$ is far away from the origin by virtue of the nontrapping condition. The next lemma claims that this statement holds for $0 \le t \le \lambda\delta$ with sufficiently small $\delta > 0$.

Lemma 2.1. *There exists $\delta > 0$ and a small neighborhood Ω of (x_0,ξ_0) such that*

$$|\pi_1(\exp(tH_{p^\lambda})(x,\xi))| \ge c_1 t - c_2 \quad \text{for } 0 \le t \le \lambda\delta,\ (x,\xi)\in\Omega$$

with some $c_1, c_2 > 0$.

Proof. In the following, we denote

$$\exp(tH_{p^\lambda})(x,\xi) = (y^\lambda(t;x,\xi), \eta^\lambda(t;x,\xi)).$$

By the conservation of the energy: $p^\lambda(y^\lambda(t),\eta^\lambda(t)) = \text{const.}$, and the ellipticity of the principal symbol, we easily see

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^2}|y^\lambda(t;x,\xi)|^2 + |\eta^\lambda(t;x,\xi)|^2 \le C, \quad (x,\xi)\in\Omega, t\in\mathbb{R},$$

where Ω is a small neighborhood of (x_0,ξ_0). Hence, in particular, we have

$$|y^\lambda(t;x,\xi)| \le C\langle t\rangle, \quad |\eta^\lambda(t;x,\xi)| \le C$$

for $t > 0$ with some $C > 0$. On the other hand, by direct computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^2}{dt^2}|y^\lambda(t)|^2 &= 2\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\left(y^\lambda\cdot\frac{dy^\lambda}{dt}\right) = 2\frac{d}{dt}\left(\sum_{j,k} a_{jk}(y^\lambda)y_j^\lambda\eta_k^\lambda\right)\\ &= 4p^\lambda(y^\lambda,\eta^\lambda) + 2W(y^\lambda,\eta^\lambda),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
W(y^\lambda,\eta^\lambda) &= \sum_{j,k,\ell} a_{jk}(y^\lambda)(a_{k\ell}(y^\lambda)-\delta_{k\ell})\eta_j^\lambda\eta_k^\lambda \\
&\quad + \sum_{j,k,\ell,m} \frac{\partial a_{jk}}{\partial x_\ell}(y^\lambda)\, a_{\ell m}(y^\lambda)\eta_m^\lambda y_j^\lambda \eta_k^\lambda \\
&\quad - \sum_{j,k,\ell,m} a_{jk}(y^\lambda)\frac{\partial a_{\ell m}}{\partial x_k}(y^\lambda) y_j^\lambda \eta_\ell^\lambda \eta_m^\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\sum_{j,k} a_{jk}(y^\lambda) y_j^\lambda y_k^\lambda \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\sum_{j,k} a_{jk}(y^\lambda)\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_k}(y^\lambda) y_j^\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda^2}|y^\lambda|^2 - \frac{2}{\lambda^2}V(y^\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining these, we learn

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}|y^\lambda(t)|^2 \geq 4p^\lambda(y^\lambda,\eta^\lambda) - c_4(\langle y^\lambda\rangle^{-\mu} + \lambda^{-2}\langle y^\lambda\rangle^2).$$

We note $p^\lambda(x_0,\xi_0) = k(x_0,\xi_0) + O(\lambda^{-2})$ and $k(x_0,\xi_0) > 0$, and hence $p^\lambda(x_0,\xi_0) > 0$ for large λ. Since $\lambda^{-2}\langle y^\lambda\rangle^2 = O(\langle t/\lambda\rangle^2)$, if $0 \leq t \leq \delta\lambda$ with sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, the last term of the right hand side of the above inequality is small and

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}|y^\lambda(t)|^2 \geq 3p^\lambda(y^\lambda,\eta^\lambda) - c_4\langle y^\lambda\rangle^{-\mu}$$

for the initial condition $(x,\xi) \in \Omega$. By the nontrapping condition and (2.3), if $T_0 > 0$ is sufficiently large and λ is large (depending on T_0), then

$$c_4\langle y^\lambda(T_0)\rangle^{-\mu} \leq p^\lambda(x,\xi) \quad \text{for } (x,\xi)\in\Omega, \text{ and } \quad \frac{d}{dt}|y^\lambda(T_0)| > 0.$$

Then by the standard convexity argument, we learn

$$|y^\lambda(t)|^2 \geq |y^\lambda(T_0)|^2 + p^\lambda(x,\xi)(t-T_0)^2 \quad \text{for } t \in [T_0,\delta\lambda],$$

and this implies the assertion. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\delta > 0$ and Ω as in the previous lemma, and let $\sigma \in (0,\delta)$. Then*

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \exp(-\sigma\lambda H_{p_0^\lambda}) \circ \exp(\sigma\lambda H_{p^\lambda})(x,\xi) = S_+(x,\xi),$$

for $(x,\xi)\in\Omega$.

Proof. We denote

$$(z^\lambda(t;x,\xi),\zeta^\lambda(t;x,\xi)) = \exp(-tH_{p_0^\lambda}) \circ \exp(tH_{p^\lambda})(x,\xi),$$

and we show the convergence of $(z^\lambda(\sigma\lambda), \zeta^\lambda(\sigma\lambda))$ to $S_+(x,\xi)$ for $(x,\xi) \in \Omega$. We recall

$$\exp(-tH_{p_0^\lambda})(x,\xi) = \big(\cos(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})x - \lambda\sin(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})\xi, \tfrac{1}{\lambda}\sin(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})x + \cos(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})\xi\big)$$

since p_0^λ is the scaled harmonic oscillator. Thus

$$z^\lambda(t) = \cos(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})y^\lambda(t) - \lambda\sin(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})\eta^\lambda(t), \quad \zeta^\lambda = \tfrac{1}{\lambda}\sin(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})y^\lambda(t) + \cos(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})\eta^\lambda(t).$$

By direct computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.4)\quad \frac{d}{dt}z_k^\lambda &= -\frac{1}{\lambda}\sin(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})y_k^\lambda + \cos(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})\frac{dy_k^\lambda}{dt} - \cos(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})\eta_k^\lambda - \lambda\sin(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})\frac{d\eta_k^\lambda}{dt} \\
&= -\frac{1}{\lambda}\sin(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})y_k^\lambda + \cos(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})\sum_j a_{jk}(y^\lambda)\eta_j^\lambda - \cos(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})\eta_k^\lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda}\sin(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})y_k^\lambda \\
&\quad + \sin(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})\bigg(\frac{\lambda}{2}\sum_{i,j}\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_k}(y^\lambda)\eta_i^\lambda\eta_j^\lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda}\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_k}(y^\lambda)\bigg) \\
&= \cos(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})\sum_j (a_{jk}(y^\lambda) - \delta_{jk})\eta_j^\lambda \\
&\quad + \sin(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})\bigg(\frac{\lambda}{2}\sum_{i,j}\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_k}(y^\lambda)\eta_i^\lambda\eta_j^\lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda}\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_k}(y^\lambda)\bigg) \\
&= O(\langle y^\lambda\rangle^{-\mu}) + O(\lambda\langle y^\lambda\rangle^{-\mu-1} + \lambda^{-1}\langle y^\lambda\rangle^{1-\mu}) \\
&= O(\langle t\rangle^{-\mu})
\end{aligned}$$

for $0 \le t \le \delta\lambda$. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.5)\quad \frac{d}{dt}\zeta_k^\lambda &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\cos(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})y_k^\lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda}\sin(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})\frac{dy_k^\lambda}{dt} - \frac{1}{\lambda}\sin(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})\eta_k^\lambda + \cos(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})\frac{d\eta_k^\lambda}{dt} \\
&= \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\cos(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})y_k^\lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda}\sum_j a_{jk}(y^\lambda)\eta_j^\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda}\sin(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})\eta_k^\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\cos(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})y_k^\lambda \\
&\quad - \cos(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})\bigg(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j}\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_k}(y^\lambda)\eta_i^\lambda\eta_j^\lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_k}(y^\lambda)\bigg) \\
&= \frac{1}{\lambda}\sin(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})\sum_j (a_{jk}(y^\lambda) - \delta_{jk})\eta_j^\lambda \\
&\quad - \cos(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})\bigg(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j}\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_k}(y^\lambda)\eta_i^\lambda\eta_j^\lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_k}(y^\lambda)\bigg) \\
&= O(\lambda^{-1}\langle y^\lambda\rangle^{-\mu}) + O(\langle y^\lambda\rangle^{-\mu-1} + \lambda^{-2}\langle y^\lambda\rangle^{-(\mu-1)}) \\
&= O(\langle t\rangle^{-\mu-1})
\end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq \delta\lambda$. Moreover, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{d}{dt} z_k^\lambda(t) &= \sum_j a_{jk}(\tilde{y})\tilde{\eta}_j - \tilde{\eta}_k + \frac{t}{2}\sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_k}(\tilde{y})\tilde{y}_j\tilde{\eta}_j \\
&= \frac{d}{dt}(\tilde{y}_k - t\tilde{\eta}_k), \\
\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{d}{dt} \zeta_k^\lambda(t) &= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_k}(\tilde{y})\tilde{\eta}_i\tilde{\eta}_j = \frac{d}{dt}\tilde{\eta}_k,
\end{aligned}$$

where $(\tilde{y}(t), \tilde{\eta}(t)) = \exp(tH_k)(x, \xi)$. By using the dominated convergence theorem, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} z^\lambda(\sigma\lambda) &= x + \lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\int_0^{\sigma\lambda} \frac{dz^\lambda}{dt}dt = x + \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dt}(\tilde{y} - t\tilde{\eta})dt \\
&= \lim_{t\to+\infty}(\tilde{y}(t) - t\tilde{\eta}(t)) = \pi_1(S_+(x, \xi)), \\
\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \zeta^\lambda(\sigma\lambda) &= \xi + \lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\int_0^{\sigma\lambda} \frac{d\zeta^\lambda}{dt}dt = \xi + \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dt}\tilde{\eta}(t)dt \\
&= \lim_{\tau\to+\infty}\tilde{\eta}(t) = \pi_2(S_+(x, \xi)).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $0 < \sigma < \pi$, and let Ω be a small neighborhood of (x_0, ξ_0) as in the previous lemmas. Then*

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \exp(-\sigma\lambda H_{p_0^\lambda}) \circ \exp(\sigma\lambda H_{p^\lambda})(x, \xi) = S_+(x, \xi)$$

for $(x, \xi) \in \Omega$.

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $\delta < \sigma < \pi$, and we fix such σ. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and we show that if

$$\max(|z^\lambda(\sigma\lambda) - x_+|, |\zeta^\lambda(\sigma\lambda) - \xi_+|) > \varepsilon$$

then λ is bounded from above, where $(x_+, \xi_+) = S_+(x, \xi)$, and $(z^\lambda, \zeta^\lambda)$ is as in the proof of the previous lemma. Our claim then follows from this assertion by contradiction.

We first note

$$y^\lambda(t) = \cos(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})z^\lambda(t) + \lambda\sin(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})\zeta^\lambda(t).$$

For the moment, we suppose $|z^\lambda(t) - x_+|$, $|\zeta^\lambda(t) - \xi_+| \leq \varepsilon$. Then we have

$$|y^\lambda(t)| \geq \lambda\sin(\tfrac{t}{\lambda})(|\xi_+| - \varepsilon) - (|x_+| + \varepsilon) \geq \delta_1\lambda$$

with some $\delta_1 > 0$ provided $\delta\lambda \le t \le \sigma\lambda$, $\varepsilon < |\xi_+|/2$ and $\lambda \ge \lambda_0$, where δ_1 and λ_0 depend only on $|x_+|$ and $|\xi_+|$. Then, by using formulas (2.4) and (2.5), we learn

$$\left|\frac{d}{dt}z^\lambda(t)\right| \le C\lambda^{-\mu}, \quad \left|\frac{d}{dt}\zeta^\lambda(t)\right| \le C\lambda^{-\mu-1}.$$

Now we choose λ sufficiently large that

$$\max(|z^\lambda(\delta\lambda) - x_+|, |\zeta^\lambda(\delta\lambda) - \xi_+|) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

and suppose

$$\max(|z^\lambda(\sigma\lambda) - x_+|, |\zeta^\lambda(\sigma\lambda) - \xi_+|) \ge \varepsilon.$$

Then there exists $t_0 \in (\delta\lambda, \sigma\lambda)$ such that

$$\max(|z^\lambda(t_0) - x_+|, |\zeta^\lambda(t_0) - \xi_+|) = \varepsilon$$

and

$$\max(|z^\lambda(t) - x_+|, |\zeta^\lambda(t) - \xi_+|) \le \varepsilon \quad \text{for } \delta\lambda \le t \le t_0..$$

By the above observation, we learn

$$\begin{aligned} |z^\lambda(t_0) - x_+| &= \left| z^\lambda(\delta\lambda) - x_+ + \int_{\delta\lambda}^{t_0} \frac{dz^\lambda}{dt}(t)dt \right| \\ &\le |z^\lambda(\delta\lambda) - x_+| + C(t_0 - \delta\lambda)\lambda^{-\mu} \\ &\le |z^\lambda(\delta\lambda) - x_+| + C(\sigma - \delta)\lambda^{-(\mu-1)}, \\ |\zeta^\lambda(t_0) - \xi_+| &= \left| \zeta^\lambda(\delta\lambda) - \xi_+ + \int_{\delta\lambda}^{t_0} \frac{d\zeta^\lambda}{dt}(t)dt \right| \\ &\le |\zeta^\lambda(\delta\lambda) - \xi_+| + C(\sigma - \delta)\lambda^{-\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\varepsilon = \max(|z^\lambda(t_0) - x_+|, |\zeta^\lambda(t_0) - \xi_+|) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + C(\sigma - \delta)\lambda^{-(\mu-1)},$$

and hence $\lambda \le (2C(\sigma - \delta)/\varepsilon)^{1/(\mu-1)}$. This proves the assertion. $\square$

The next theorem follows immediately from Lemma 2.3.

Theorem 2.4. *(i) Suppose* (x_0, ξ_0) *is forward nontrapping, and let* $0 < \sigma < \pi$. *Then there exists a neighborhood* Ω *of* (x_0, ξ_0) *such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \pi_1\big(\exp(-\sigma H_{p_0}) \circ \exp(\sigma H_p)(x, \lambda\xi)\big) = \pi_1(S_+(x, \xi)), \\ &\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \lambda^{-1}\pi_2\big(\exp(-\sigma H_{p_0}) \circ \exp(\sigma H_p)(x, \lambda\xi)\big) = \pi_2(S_+(x, \xi)) \end{aligned}$$

for $(x,\xi)\in\Omega$*, and the convergence is uniform in* Ω*.*
(ii) Suppose (x_0,ξ_0) *be backward nontrapping, and let* $-\pi<\sigma<0$*. Then there exists a neighborhood* Ω *of* (x_0,ξ_0) *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\pi_1\bigl(\exp(-\sigma H_{p_0})\circ\exp(\sigma H_p)(x,\lambda\xi)\bigr)=\pi_1(S_-(x,\xi)),\\ &\lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\lambda^{-1}\pi_2\bigl(\exp(-\sigma H_{p_0})\circ\exp(\sigma H_p)(x,\lambda\xi)\bigr)=\pi_2(S_-(x,\xi))\end{aligned}$$

for $(x,\xi)\in\Omega$*, and the convergence is uniform in* Ω*.*

We introduce several notations as a preparation for the proof of our main results. We set

$$\begin{aligned}\ell(t;x,\xi)&=(p\circ\exp(tH_{p_0}))(x,\xi)-p_0(x,\xi)\\ &=\sum_{j,k=1}^n\bigl(a_{jk}(\cos(t)x+\sin(t)\xi)-\delta_{jk}\bigr)(-\sin(t)x_j+\cos(t)\xi_j)\times\\ &\qquad\times(-\sin(t)x_k+\cos(t)\xi_k)+V(\cos(t)x+\sin(t)\xi).\end{aligned}$$

Then it is easy to show that $\ell(t;x,\xi)$ generates the *scattering time evolution*:

$$S_t=\exp(-tH_{p_0})\circ\exp(tH_p).$$

Similarly,

$$\ell^\lambda(t;x,\xi)=(p^\lambda\circ\exp(tH_{p_0^\lambda}))(x,\xi)-p_0^\lambda(x,\xi)$$

generates the time evolution:

$$S_t^\lambda=\exp(-tH_{p_0^\lambda})\circ\exp(tH_{p^\lambda}).$$

We denote the scaling with respect to ξ by $\mathfrak{J}_\lambda$, i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{J}_\lambda(x,\xi)=(x,\lambda\xi)\quad\text{for }(x,\xi)\in\mathbb{R}^{2n}.$$

Then by (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$\exp(tH_p)\circ\mathfrak{J}_\lambda=\mathfrak{J}_\lambda\circ\exp(\lambda tH_{p^\lambda}),\quad\exp(tH_{p_0})\circ\mathfrak{J}_\lambda=\mathfrak{J}_\lambda\circ\exp(\lambda tH_{p_0^\lambda}),$$

and hence we also have

$$S_t\circ\mathfrak{J}_\lambda=\mathfrak{J}_\lambda\circ S_{\lambda t}^\lambda. \tag{2.6}$$

3 Proof of main results

In this section, we mainly concern the case (x_0,ξ_0) is forward nontrapping, and prove the part (ii) of Theorem 1.1.

We first consider the property of $e^{itH_0}e^{-itH}$ for $t \leq 0$. Let $v_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and we consider

$$v(t) = e^{itH_0}e^{-itH}v_0.$$

Then it is easy to observe

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}v(t) &= -ie^{itH_0}(H - H_0)e^{-itH}v_0\\ &= -i\big(e^{itH_0}He^{-itH_0} - H_0\big)v(t) = -iL(t)v(t),\end{aligned}$$

where $L(t) = e^{itH_0}He^{-itH_0} - H_0$. We recall, for any reasonable symbol $a(x, \xi)$, we have

$$e^{itH_0}a^w(x, D_x)e^{-itH_0} = (a \circ \exp(tH_{p_0}))^w(x, D_x),$$

without the remainder terms, since $p_0(x, \xi)$ is a quadratic form in (x, ξ), and we employ the Weyl quantization. Thus we have

$$L(t) = (p \circ \exp(tH_{p_0}))^w(x, D_x) - p_0(x, D_x) = \ell^w(t; x, D_x),$$

i.e., $\ell(t; x, \xi)$ is the Weyl-symbol of $L(t)$. This is in fact expected, since $e^{itH_0}e^{-itH}$ is the quantization of S_t.

Let Ω be a small neighborhood of (x_0, ξ_0) as in the last section, and let $f \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ be such that $f(x_0, \xi_0) > 0$, and $f(x, \xi) \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. We then set

$$f_h(x, \xi) = f(x, h\xi), \quad h = \lambda^{-1},$$

where $h > 0$ is our semiclassical parameter. We consider the behavior of

$$G(t) = e^{itH_0}e^{-itH}f^w(x, hD_x)e^{itH}e^{-itH_0}$$

as $h \to 0$. The operator valued function $G(t)$ satisfies the Heisenberg equation:

$$\frac{d}{dt}G(t) = -i[L(t), G(t)], \quad G(0) = f^w(x, hD_x). \tag{3.1}$$

The corresponding canonical equation of the classical mechanics is

$$\frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial t}(t; x, \xi) = -\{\ell, \psi_0\}(t; x, \xi), \quad \psi(0; x, \xi) = f(x, h\xi)$$

and the solution is given by

$$\psi_0(t; x, \xi) = (f_h \circ S_t^{-1})(x, \xi)$$

since S_t is the Hamilton flow generated by $\ell(t; x, \xi)$. Now we note

$$f_h(x, \xi) = f(x, \xi/\lambda) = (f \circ \mathcal{J}_\lambda^{-1})(x, \xi).$$

Hence, recalling (2.6), we learn

$$\begin{aligned} f_h \circ S_t^{-1} &= f \circ \mathcal{J}_\lambda^{-1} \circ S_t^{-1} = f \circ (S_t \circ \mathcal{J}_\lambda)^{-1} \\ &= f \circ (\mathcal{J}_\lambda \circ S_{\lambda t}^\lambda)^{-1} = f \circ (S_{\lambda t}^\lambda)^{-1} \circ \mathcal{J}_\lambda^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, we have

$$\psi_0(t;x,\xi) = (f_h \circ S_t^{-1})(x,\xi) = (f \circ (S_{\lambda t}^\lambda)^{-1})(x,h\xi).$$

We expect

$$G(t) \sim \psi_0(t;x,D_x) = (f \circ (S_{\lambda t}^\lambda)^{-1})(x,hD_x)$$

for small $h > 0$, and we construct the asymptotic solution to the Heisenberg equation (3.1) with the principal symbol $\psi_0(t;x,\xi)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $-\pi < t_0 < 0$, and set $I = [t_0, 0]$. There exists $\psi(t;x,\xi) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ for $t \in I$ such that*

(i) $\psi(0;x,\xi) = f(x,h\xi)$.

(ii) $\psi(t;x,\xi)$ *is supported in* $S_t \circ \mathcal{J}_\lambda(\Omega) = \mathcal{J}_\lambda \circ S_{\lambda t}^\lambda(\Omega)$.

(iii) For any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, there is $C_{\alpha\beta} > 0$ such that

$$\left|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \psi(t;x,\xi)\right| \le C_{\alpha\beta} h^{|\beta|}, \quad t \in I, x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

(iv) The principal symbol of ψ is given by ψ_0, i.e., for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, there is $C_{\alpha\beta} > 0$ such that

$$\left|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta (\psi(t;x,\xi) - \psi_0(t;x,\xi))\right| \le C_{\alpha\beta} h^{1+|\beta|}, \quad t \in I, x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

(v) If we set $G(t) = \psi^w(t;x,D_x)$, then

$$\left\| \frac{d}{dt} G(t) + i[L(t), G(t)] \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} = O(h^\infty)$$

as $h \to 0$, uniformly in $t \in I$.

Proof. Given the classical mechanical construction above, the construction of the asymptotic solution is quite similar to (or slightly simpler than) the proof of Lemma 4 of [7]. We note $\ell(t;x,\xi) \in S(\langle\xi\rangle^2, dx^2 + d\xi^2/\langle\xi\rangle^2)$ locally in x, and $\pi_1(\mathrm{supp}\,(\psi_0(t;\cdot,\cdot)))$ is contained in a compact set by virtue of the asymptotic property: $S_{\lambda t}^\lambda \sim S_+$ as $\lambda \to \infty$. We omit the detail. □

Now the proof of Theorem 1.1 is almost the same as the proof of Theorem 1 of [7], and we simply refer the reader to the paper.

Finally, we show Theorem 1.3 follows from Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We prove the part (i) only. We note

$$WF(e^{-i(\pi/2)H_0}u) = WF(\hat{u}), \tag{3.2}$$

$$WF(e^{i(\pi/2)H_0}u) = WF(\check{u}) = \Gamma(WF(\hat{u})). \tag{3.3}$$

In fact, $e^{-i(\pi/2)H_0}$ is the Fourier transform. (See also Remark 1.2.)

We set $(x', \xi') = S_+^{-1} \circ \Gamma \circ S_-(x_0, \xi_0)$ so that

$$(x_-, \xi_-) = \Gamma(x'_+, \xi'_+), \quad \text{where } (x'_+, \xi'_+) = S_+(x', \xi'). \tag{3.4}$$

By Theorem 1.1 (i) with $t_0 = \pi/2$, and $u(\pi/2)$ as the initial condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x_0, \xi_0) \in WF(u(\pi)) \quad &\Longleftrightarrow \quad (x_-, \xi_-) \in WF(e^{-i(\pi/2)H_0}u(\pi/2)) \\ &\Longleftrightarrow \quad (x_-, \xi_-) \in WF(\hat{u}(\pi/2)). \end{aligned}$$

We have used (3.2) in the second step. On the other hand, by Theorem 1.1 (ii) with $t_0 = -\pi/2$, and $u(\pi/2)$ as the initial condition, and using (3.3), we also have

$$\begin{aligned} (x', \xi') \in WF(u_0) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad (x'_+, \xi'_+) &\in WF(e^{i(\pi/2)H_0}u(\pi/2)) \\ &= WF(\check{u}(\pi/2)) = \Gamma(WF(\hat{u}(\pi/2))). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.4), this implies the claim of Theorem 1.3 (i). The part (ii) is proved similarly. □

4 Inhomogeneous harmonic oscillators

Here we consider the case when the harmonic potential is inhomogeneous, i.e.,

$$H_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\triangle + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} b_{ij}x_i x_j,$$

with a positive symmetric matrix (b_{ij}), and

$$H = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \partial_{x_i} a_{ij}(x) \partial_{x_j} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} b_{ij}x_i x_j + V(x).$$

We assume $(a_{jk}(x))$ and $V(x)$ satisfy Assumption A. By an orthogonal transform, we can diagonalize the harmonic potential, and hence we may assume $\sum b_{ij}x_i x_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \nu_j^2 x_j^2$, where $\nu_j^2 > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, are eigenvalues of (b_{ij}). The behavior of the inhomogeneous harmonic oscillator depends on the number theoretical properties of $(\nu_j)_{j=1}^{n}$. If there exist no $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$t_0 \nu_j \in \pi\mathbb{Z}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \tag{4.1}$$

then it is well-known that the recurrence of the evolution operator does not occur, i.e., there are no $t_0 \neq 0$ such that $e^{-itH_0} = I$. In this case we have the following result:

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose* (x_0, ξ_0) *is backward nontrapping, and suppose that there are no* $t_0 > 0$ *such that (4.1) hold. Then for any* $t > 0$*,*

$$(x_0, \xi_0) \in WF(e^{-itH}u_0) \iff (x_-, \xi_-) \in WF(e^{-itH_0}u_0).$$

Obviously, an analogous result holds for $t < 0$, but we omit it here.

If there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that (4.1) holds, then we have the following result:

Theorem 4.2. *Let* $t_0 > 0$ *be the smallest positive number satisfying (4.1), and let* $m_j = t_0\nu_j/\pi \in \mathbb{Z}$*. We set*

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(x_1, \dots, x_n, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = (\sigma_1 x_1, \dots, \sigma_n x_n, \sigma_1\xi_1, \dots, \sigma_n\xi_n)$$

for $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$*, where* $\sigma_j = 1$ *if* m_j *is even, and* $\sigma_j = -1$ *if* m_j *is odd. Suppose* (x_0, ξ_0) *is backward nontrapping. Then for* $0 < t < t_0$*,*

$$(x_0, \xi_0) \in WF(e^{-itH}u_0) \iff (x_-, \xi_-) \in WF(e^{-itH_0}u_0),$$

and

$$(x_0, \xi_0) \in WF(e^{-it_0H}u_0) \iff S_+^{-1} \circ \tilde{\Gamma} \circ S_-(x_0, \xi_0) \in WF(u_0).$$

The proofs of these theorems are similar to Theorems 1.1 and 1.3, and we omit the detail. We only note the fact that

$$\exp\left[-it_0\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \nu_j^2\frac{x^2}{2}\right)\right]u(x) = (\mathcal{F}^{2m_j}u)(x) = u(\sigma_j x)$$

for $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $j = 1, \dots, n$.

References

[1] Craig, W., Kappeler, T., Strauss, W.: Microlocal disipertive smoothing for the Schrödinger equation. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **48**, 769–860 (1996).

[2] Doi, S.: Singularities of solutions of Schrödinger equations for perturbed harmonic oscillators. Hyperbolic problems and related topics, 185–199, Grad. Ser. Anal., Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2003.

[3] Hassel, A., Wunsch, J.: The Schrödinger propagator for scattering metrics. Ann. Math. **162**, 487–523 (2005).

[4] Hörmander, L.: *Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators*, Vol. I-IV. Springer Verlag, 1983–1985.

[5] Ito, K., Nakamura, S.: Singularities of solutions to Schrödinger equation on scattering manifold. Preprint, Nov. 2007. (`http://arxiv.org/abs/0711.3258`)

[6] Kapitanski, L., Rodnianski, I. and Yajima, K.: On the fundamental solution of a perturbed harmonic oscillator, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **9**, 77–106 (1997).

[7] Nakamura, S.: Wave front set for solutions to Schrödinger equations. To appear in J. Funct. Analysis. (Preprint 2004)

[8] Nakamura, S.: Semiclassical singularity propagation property for Schrödinger equations. To appear in J. Math. Soc. Japan (preprint at `http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0605742`)

[9] Yajima, K.: Smoothness and non-smoothness of the fundamental solution of time dependent Schrödinger equations. Comm. Math. Phys. **181**, 605–629 (1996).

[10] Wunsch, J.: The trace of the generalized harmonic oscillator. Annales de l'institut Fourier, **49**, no.1, 351–373 (1999).

[11] Zelditch, S.: Reconstruction of singularities for solutions of Schrödinger's equation. Commun. Math. Phys. **90**, 1–26 (1983).